### NATIONAL MITIGATION RESOURCE PORTAL

An initiative by the Multi-Hazard Mitigation Council (MMC)

#### Objective

The National Mitigation Resource Portal (NMRP) aims to enhance community resilience by convening and streamlining mitigation efforts across government and private sector entities. This effort will address these challenges identified by the MMC:

- Difficulties to find existing hazard mitigation resources (like federal grants)
- Technical challenges and resource support for state and local jurisdictions to successfully apply for federal mitigation grants, especially for marginalized communities and those with limited staff and technical support
- Barriers to hazard mitigation investments, both public assistance and private investment, need to be identified and reduced
- Better communication with elected officials and decision makers in mitigation investment

### What is NMRP?

precedent (similar) projects

in other communities.

The MMC proposes creating a centralized inventory of hazard mitigation resources. Congressionally chartered and broadly recognized as a trusted, unbiased convener among government agencies and private sectors, NIBS is uniquely positioned to develop and host this portal, which will consist of three major components:

Module 1	Module 2	Module 3	work with government agencies (FEMA, HUD,	organizations like NEMA, IAEM, The Pew Charitable Trusts,
Federal TurboGrants to guide jurisdictions to the right federal mitigation funding (BRIC, HMGP, FMA,	<b>Resilience Incentivization</b> 1. Make mitigation investment more sustainable by leveraging	Education (Technical Support) and Engagement 1. Webinars, workshops, training modules for	USFA, etc.) that administer mitigation grants to support the development of the resource portal.	NACo, NGA, FLASH, NIBS will collect input on portal design from grant applicants like state, territory, tribal agencies and communities.
PDM, CDBG-MIT, CDBG-DR, etc.).	private sector capital.	emergency managers and officials.	Next Steps	
The Portal will help potential applicants answer: (1) what federal funds are the best fit for community-selected mitigation projects; (2)	<ol> <li>Potentially help the cost share required by federal grant programs.</li> <li>See <u>MMC Committee on</u> <u>Finance, Insurance, and</u></li> </ol>	2. Success stories and projects, and platform to connect community leaders working on similar projects.	<ul> <li>Build agency support for developing the centralized resource</li> <li>Engage organizational partners and gather input (work in pro</li> <li>Develop private sector support and stimulate innovation products, insurance programs, appraisal tools and guideline recognize the value of resilient buildings (work in progress).</li> </ul>	
program requirements, limits, and timelines; (3) links to agency programs; (4)	<u>Real Estate (CFIRE) effort</u> .		Contact	

MMC BOD Lead: Bryan Koon and Russ Strickland (Module 1) and Daniel Kaniewski (Module 2) MMC/BSSC Executive Director: Jigiu (JQ) Yuan, jyuan@nibs.org

# National Institute of BUILDING SCIENCES<sup>™</sup>

#### Measuring Success

- A centralized resource portal to help jump-start state/local mitigation projects and improve applicant capacity to develop mitigation projects and apply for grants
- Maximize federal funding for hazard mitigation
- A channel to provide feedback to grant administrators (by annual or biennial recommendation reports or other means to FEMA, HUD, etc.)
- Increased financial products, insurance programs, and resilience investments from the private sector

#### Partnership



#### **Federal agencies**

NIBS would convene and

#### **Grant applicants** Through partnerships with IEMA, IAEM, Trusts, I, NIBS will tal design ts like state, cies



#### **Private sector**

Through CFIRE, NIBS will initiate and promote dialogue across the finance, insurance, real estate and building science sectors, especially through organizational collaboration and public and private partnership.

lized resource portal.

it (work in progress).

e innovation and development of financial and guidelines to properly evaluate risk and

#### www.nibs.org

### Key Facts

The benefit-cost ratio of federal mitigation grants by FEMA, HUD, and EDA since 1995. [NIBS Mitigation Saves]

## \$530 billion

Price tag to implement cost-effective resilience measures for private sector buildings (This does not include the trillions of dollars needed to upgrade the nation's D+ infrastructure.) [NIBS Mitigation Saves]

### 88%

Percentage of FEMA hazard mitigation grant funding awarded to states after disasters between 2010 through 2018 (12% was used for pre-disaster grant programs). Sixty-six percent of these funds went to just three states: New Jersey, New York, and Texas. [GAO report]

## 10 out of 12

Ten out of 12 state and local officials interviewed by the GAO described the FEMA grant application process as complex and lengthy. Most cited technical capacity challenges. [GAO report]

## 50%

More than 50% of the questions in the FEMA Individual Assistance and SBA applications are duplicates.